

# UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	}	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/398,2	74 09/20/	99 BROWN		J	9921	
H GORDON SHIELDS 7830 N 23RD AVENUE PHOENIX AZ 85021		 LM02/0113	1 <u> </u>	EXAMINER		
		and the same of the same state.		LIEU, J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HUENIX 6	44 85UZ1			2736	3	
			D	ATE MAILED:		
					01/13/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
·· Office Action Summary	09/398,274	BROWN, JEFFREY C.						
omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Julie Lieu	2736						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH	I(S) FROM						
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> </ul>	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6)	of thirty (30) days will  MONTHS from the mailing date of this						
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Status</li> </ul>	y statute, cause the application to become	me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 S</u>	September 1999 .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition for allowance with the practice under the condition of the condi								
Disposition of Claims		•						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	b by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
Drivate and a 05 U.C.O. a 440		1						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	nriority under 25 U.S.C. 5 1104	(a) (d)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIF	ED copies of the phonty docum	ients nave been.						
2. received in Application No. (Series Code	e / Serial Number)							
<ol><li>received in this National Stage application</li></ol>	n from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	red.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(e).						
Attachment(s)								
<ul> <li>14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ul>	18) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/398,274

Art Unit: 2736

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rakow (US Patent No. 5,150,098).

## Claim 1:

In reference to fig. 4, Rakow discloses an apparatus for indicating the intensity of brake pressure application in a vehicle having an electrical system and a brake light and brake pedal comprising:

- a. Means 42 for measuring the intensity of brake pressure applied to the brake pedal
- b. Means 46-48 for providing output signal
- c. A plurality of lights 18, 19, 21 sequentially illuminated in response to the output signal (col. 3, last paragraph).

/

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/398,274

Art Unit: 2736

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakow (US Patent No. 5,150,098) in view of Gilmore (US Patent No. 5,610,578) (cited by the applicant).

## Claim 2:

Rakow uses the detected hydraulic pressure value to determine the intensity of the pressure applied to the brake. However, there are different ways of detecting the brake pressure known in the art such as that taught by Gilmore. Gilmore measures the brake pressure by measuring the resistivity of between the contacts of the pedal potentiometer. In light of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 09/398,274

Art Unit: 2736

made, to recognize using the brake pedal potentiometer in place of sensor 42 in Rakow because it would eliminate the use of a sensor which is more costly than the use of the potentiometer.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakow (US Patent No. 5,150,098) in view of Gilmore (US Patent No. 5,610,578) (cited by the applicant) as applied to claim 2 above and further in view of Eckert et al. (US Patent No. 4,888,997)

## Claim 3:

Neither Rakow nor Gilmore discusses using a strain gauge sensor. However, the use of a strain gauge sensor for sensing the expansion of a brake hose in response to the intensity of a brake pressure is well known in the art as shown in Eckert et al. (col. 2, lines 50-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a strain gauge sensor in place of the sensors in Rakow or Gilmore depending on the brake type because measuring of brake pressure by strain gauge is conventional in the art.

6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakow (US Patent No. 5,150,098).

## Claim 4:

Though not clearly mentioned in Rakow, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any vehicle would have some kind of switch connected to the electrical system and actuated by movement of the brake pedal for providing electrical current to

Application/Control Number: 09/398,274

Art Unit: 2736

illuminate the brake light. Thus, this switch would also provide electrical current to illuminate the brake intensity indicators.

## Claim 5:

The examiner takes official notice that the use of light emitting diodes in brake light system is conventional in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute LEDs for light bulbs 18, 18, 21 in Rakow because LEDs would consume less power and provide an aesthetic indicating system.

#### Claim 6:

The lights in Rakow is arranged horizontally in the rear of the vehicle. However, arranging the lights vertically only present a design choice. Lacking any criticality as to why the indicator lights must be arranged vertically, what unexpected result would be obtained, or how it would solved any stated problem, it appears that the lights, arranged horizontally, in Rakow would function equally well as if it is mounted vertically to provide the following drivers with the information of the intensity of the brake pressure of the leading vehicle.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 703-308-6738. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, alternate Fri. off, 8:30-6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on 703-305-4717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6743 for regular communications and 703-308-6743 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2736

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-8576.

Julie Lieu

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2736

jl January 6, 2000